UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,516	03/27/2006	Daniel Bur	A0345.0024	4476
32172 DICKSTEIN SI	7590 01/22/200 HAPIRO LLP	EXAMINER		
1177 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS (6TH AVENUE)			MABRY, JOHN	
NEW TORK, P	NEW YORK, NY 10036-2714		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1625	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/22/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/573,516	BUR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JOHN MABRY	1625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apportunity Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Ma	arch 2006					
·= · · <u>-</u>	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28 and 32-37</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-28 and 32-37</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
, ,	s have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Page 2

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=1, X=aryt aryt-Ct. alkyt-. A further election of single disclosed species is required.
- II. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=1, X= aryi-O-; aryi-C₁₋₂-alkyl-O-;. A further election of single disclosed species is required.
- III. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=1,
 - $X=\frac{R^{1}-SO_{2}NR^{2}-;}{R^{1}-CONR^{2}-;} \text{ aryl-}R^{8}-CONR^{2}-; R^{1}-NR^{3}CONR^{2}-;. A further election of single disclosed species is required.}$

Application/Control Number: 10/573,516 Page 3

Art Unit: 1625

IV. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=1, $X = \mathbb{R}^3 - N\mathbb{R}^2 CO^{-1}$. A further election of single disclosed species is required.

 V. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=1, X=

X and Z represent together with the carbon atom to which they are attached an exocyclic double bond which bears an aryl substituent at the thus formed methylene group;

A further election of single disclosed species is required. The group may be

VI. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=2, X=aryl; aryl-C₁; aryl-C₂; alkyl-. A further election of single disclosed species is required.

subject to further restriction if chosen.

- VII. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=2, X= aryi-O-; aryi-C_{1.7}-alkyi-O-;. A further election of single disclosed species is required.
- VIII. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=2,

Application/Control Number: 10/573,516 Page 4

Art Unit: 1625

X= R¹-SO₂NR²-; R¹-CONR²-; aryl-R⁸-CONR²-; R¹-NR³CONR²-; . A further election of single disclosed species is required.

- IX. Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of Formula I, wherein n=2, $X = \mathbb{R}^3 N\mathbb{R}^2 CO^{-1}$. A further election of single disclosed species is required.
- Claims 1-28 are drawn to compounds and pharmaceutical compositions of
 Formula I, wherein n=2, X=

X and Z represent

together with the carbon atom to which they are attached an exocyclic double bond which bears an aryl substituent at the thus formed methylene group;

A further election of single disclosed species is required. The group may be subject to further restriction if chosen.

XI. Claims 32-37 are drawn to a method of treating a patient suffering from urotensin II and urotensin II receptors and associated diseases and conditions limited to the scope of one of groups I - X. An election of species is required if this group is chosen.

The inventions listed as Groups I-XI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

Where a group of inventions is claimed in an application, the requirement of unity of invention shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features...those technical features that define a contribution which each of the claimed inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art.

The technical feature linking these inventions is the common core found in Formula I. This special technical feature is found in US 6,331,541 as described by Ko et al (see genus and species below) and is thus not a contribution over the prior art.

Therefore the above claims, are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept and there is a lack of unity of invention because they lack a common core structure and the technical features present fail to define a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, unity of invention is considered to be lacking and restriction of the invention in accordance with the rules of unity of invention is considered to be proper.

Therefore, since the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features, the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited to only one invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete <u>must</u> include (i) an election of a invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected invention.

If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable upon the elected invention.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable

Art Unit: 1625

over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Rejoinder Advisory

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement

Page 8

is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Mabry, PhD whose telephone number is (571) 270-1967. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Janet Andres, PhD, can be reached on (571) 272-0867. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/573,516 Page 9

Art Unit: 1625

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

/John Mabry/ Examiner Art Unit 1625

> /Rita J. Desai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1625